

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/813,649

Applicant(s)

SRIVASTAVA ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel F. Hajnik

Art Unit

2628

## All Participants:

(1) Daniel F. Hajnik (Examiner).

(2) Chris Comuntzis (#31,097).

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2007

Time: 1PM

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2

Prior art documents discussed:

*Talwani et al.*

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner proposed examiner's amendment to put the case in condition for allowance and applicant agreed.*

## Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)